BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Ashley Sangster, President Brendin Beatty, Vice-President
Rod Smiley, Director Owen Davis, Director

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
6:00 P.M. Opened Session
SMCSD Boardroom 05-28-2026

1. **Call to Order:**
At: 6:00 PM

2. **Roll Call:** *Ashley Sangster, Rod Smiley, Brendin Beatty, Owen Davis*

3. **Approval of Regular Meeting Agenda:**

Motion By: Ashley Sangster

Second By: Brendin Beatty

Motion: To Approve as Amended move item 10.1 to 6.1

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Owen Davis	X			
Ashley Sangster	X			

4. **Pledge of Allegiance:**
Lead by Director Davis

5. **Public Comment and Communications for items not on the agenda:**
Vidya Schalk a San Miguel Resident spoke about the "downtown landscaping" and asked when that item would be brought back for discussion and talked about the proposed roundabout.
General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that the landscaping item is on the list to come back to the Board.
Rudy Schalk a San Miguel resident spoke about the May Advisory Counsel meeting, and that Templeton did not want the roundabout, so the discussion was to use the funds for a roundabout in San Miguel at Mission and River Road/14th Street. Mr. Schalk explained that he is not in favor of the proposed roundabout.
General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that the District does not have authority over roads.

6. **Special Presentations/Public Hearings/Other:**

1. **Public Hearing: Discussion and direction to staff regarding disciplinary action against Director Smiley (Pg. 5-8)**
Consider and provide direction to staff regarding disciplinary action against Director Smiley
Item was presented by Deputy General Counsel Pritchard who explained that a written censure request was submitted by Director Davis against Director Smiley. Director Davis has characterized Director Smiley's actions as harassment, basing the allegations that Director Smiley has stated that he has driven by Directors Davis's house. Director Davis is also under the impression that Director Smiley has contacted his employer directly in order to confirm his residency within the San Miguel Service District. Deputy General Counsel Pritchard explained that the Board Handbook outlines the requirements for process of censure. It was clarified that the Board may impose various disciplinary actions on a Director if they are found to have violated the District Policies. Depending upon the severity or frequency of the violation, and the difference between Admonishment, Reprimand, or Censure was read aloud. It was explained that Director Smiley can not vote on this item. Deputy General Counsel Pritchard clarified for the Board that if the allegations are true, her legal opinion would be that the conduct would violate the civility code of conduct in the Board Handbook. It was also explained that Director Smiley must be given an opportunity to respond to the accusations, during this hearing.
Board Comment: Director Sangster clarified that this item was just a discussion, and the Board will essentially decide whether or not to agendize this as a resolution of censure.
Director Smiley asked to respond, he stated that at that meeting he did state that he drives by Director Davis's house several times a day because he lives on the same road that goes by Davis's house. It was explained that every time Director Smiley leaves his house he has to drive by Director Davis's house and that it is very easy to see Director Davis's yard and where he parks his truck from the road; and that is why he stated that at the meeting. Director Smiley said that all the accusations are false, and that he has never talked to his employer. Director Smiley stated that he had received pictures from other people. Director Smiley explained that he feels that Director Davis thinks he is doing something to him but he is not, and that all of this is in the context of Director Davis not living in the District. Discussion ensued on this being a civil matter and legal actions that might be taken.
Director Sangster asked to give his response and said that he does not feel that it is appropriate for one director to have another director's employment agreement and does not think it is any of Director Smiley's business. Director Sangster said that Director Smiley said all of these things in an open meeting and that Director Davis is only responding to the things that where said at that meeting. Director Sangster explained that he feels that it is not anyone's business, stating that this a CSD and they are a Board that works for the community and has nothing to do with what Director Davis does for work. Director Sangster clarified that it has been pointed out that Director Davis owns a house that he does live in, and his house is in the District and that makes it so he is eligible to be a Board Member. Director Sangster stated again that he did not know what this hunt was all about, and that if Director Davis owns a house where he lives and stays at, that is in the District and even if he has to stay on a ranch to perform his job, then again it is not anyone else's business.
Director Smiley explained that he has never seen Director Davis' employment agreement, and that it was all sent to him in a text message, also stating that he has never talked to Director Davis's employer, and has not been out to the ranch that Director Davis is employed at.
Director Davis said that no one could see his truck if they drive down 14th Street, saying that Director Smiley would have to drive down Prado Place, and that Director Smiley is the

one that said these things in an open meeting. Director Davis feels that Director Smiley's intent was to get him removed from the Board, saying that he owns his house and pays taxes on it and it is within the District boundaries. Director Davis explained that he is at his Prado Place San Miguel residence at least half of the time and did not know what Director Smiley had in mind except to try and get him off the Board.
Discussion when back and forth with the same information.
Director Sangster explained that he thought that Agenda items 6.1 and 6.2 were a waste of time. Director Sangster said that Director Smiley brought up these allegations and was the one who said that he did all the things and in his opinion, they were not appropriate and not becoming of a Director.
Director Smiley explained that just because Director Sangster says; it does not make the allegations against him true. Discussion ensued.
Director Davis requested that Counsel make sure that the September Board Meeting not be erased. Deputy General Counsel Pritchard explained that the video is on the San Miguel CSD YouTube page.
Director Beatty said that he feels that the stalking allegation against Director Smiley is ridiculous, and that Director Davis and Director Smiley each have to drive by each other's houses to go anywhere. Director Beatty explained that this all started because Director Smiley questioned whether Director Davis was living in the District.
Director Sangster opened the Public Hearing portion of the item.
Public Comment: Rudy Schalk a San Miguel resident spoke about how it looks from the audience's perspective, giving an analogy of a football team tackling it own team.
Jenny Nickett a San Miguel resident spoke about that she feels that it doesn't matter where your employed if you live here 75% of the time. Mrs. Nickett also spoke that Director Davis just stated that he pays his taxes, and is registered to vote here therefore, that gives him the permission to live here or anywhere and still be a Director, Director Davis lives on a main road, and that the Board needs to put their differences aside for the community.
General Manager Kelly Dodds read written public comment aloud from J. Brown.
Director Sangster closed the Public Hearing portion of the item.
Deputy General Counsel Pritchard explained to the Board of Directors that the vote to pass would need to be 3/4 vote, because it is of the sitting Board, the majority which is a full five-member board.
Board Comment: Director Sangster asked what Director Davis wanted to do since he was the one that requested this and explained that he does not see either item going anywhere. Asked Counsel if there was anything he could do procedurally to move on to item 6.3.
Deputy General Counsel Pritchard clarified that Director Davis could move to reject the censure request but would still need to take public comment on the second censure request, and Director Smiley would have to withdraw his request. Discussion Ensued.
Director Davis discussed how this affected his life, and that it was all a waste of time.
Director Sangster asked if all the Directors were in favor of moving on to item 6.3, explaining that he feels that both are a waste of time and knows how the vote will go on both items.
Director Smiley said that he was not in favor and would like to proceed with item 6.2.
No action taken by the Board of Directors.

2. **Public Hearing: Discussion and direction to staff regarding disciplinary action against Director Davis (Pg. 9-10)**
Consider and provide direction to staff regarding disciplinary action against Director Davis
Item was presented by Deputy General Counsel Pritchard who explained that a censure request was submitted by Director Smiley against Director Davis. The basis of the request

is that in February Director Davis contacted two third-party entities that deliver Septage to the Wastewater facility to demand that they stop delivering. The allegations stated that Director Davis identified himself as a Director and stated that delivering that septage was not an approved action by the Board. Deputy General Counsel Pritchard explained that Director Smiley requested that censure against Director Davis for violation of the Board Handbook, Chapter 2, Section A, which states that the Board is a unit of authority with the District and that no Board Member may act on behalf of the whole Board in a individual capacity. It was clarified that the Board may impose the following disciplinary actions on a Director found to have violated the District Policies. Depending upon the severity or frequency of the violation and Deputy General Counsel read aloud the difference between Admonishment, Reprimand, or Censure. It was explained that Director Davis can not vote on this item. It was also explained that Director Davis must be given an opportunity to respond to the accusations, during this hearing.

Board Comment: Director Beatty explained that he does not feel that it needs to go as far a censure but would like to discuss a reprimand. It was clarified that if Director Davis went and spoke to a third-party entity that the District works with and told them that they could or could not do something as a Director that is against the Board Handbook policy. Director Beatty also explained that at the time, none of the Directors knew that this was happening, and that is not right.

Director Davis responded and explained that at the time he was used to seeing the small trucks, and that he saw a large truck he just called the number on the truck and asked them what they were dumping. The response was that it was rainwater and pig waste from Cal Poly. Director Davis said that he thinks that he called General Manager Kelly Dodds and asked him about it, then called Director Sangster and told him what they where bringing. Director Davis clarified that he told Director Sangster that he felt that he should call them and explain that there was never a resolution to bring in rainwater and pig waste and Director Sangster said to go ahead and see what happens. Director Davis explained that he just explained to them that this was never okayed by the Board of Directors and that they might want to wait until it goes to the Board, stating that they did not stop the trucks because of his statements.

General Manager Kelly Dodds clarified that he had to called Director Davis after he received phone calls from both entities and that Director Davis never called him.

Discussion ensued, Director Beatty asked since Director Davis did not contact the General Manager, did Director Sangster okay Director Davis's actions.

Director Sangster clarified that he told Director Davis that he needed more information because Director Davis was working with his idea that, that type of waste was not allowed and discussed percolation pond issues. Director Sangster explained that he feels that Director Davis did not do those things out of malice and should not be reprimanded because he was looking out for the best interest of the community.

Discussion ensued on the Board Handbook and the procedure to contact the General Manager with questions, in that kind of incident and how contacting the company to tell them to stop coming was not the right procedure.

Director Beatty explained that he is not trying to censure Director Davis for making a mistake but feels that any one of them can not just speak for the Board.

Director Sangster acknowledged that Director Beatty was not trying to censure a Director, but that he is trying to reprimand a Director for trying to do what is best for the community.

Director Beatty asked General Manger Kelly Dodds for clarification on what happened when he got the calls from the hauling companies. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that when the first company called they asked, "who is this person" and "if he knew that person", and the company explained that Mr. Davis identified himself as a

Director and asked them what they were doing. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that then he got a call from the general contractor from Cal Poly, who said that he had a similar conversation with Director Davis and Director Davis again identified himself as a Director and told him that he could not bring it, so they decided after that conversation to not bring anymore loads. The General Manager explained that in the end Director Davis got what he wanted, but the Board of Directors act as a whole not individually and Director Davis could have just called him and asked what those trucks were doing; then a conversation could have been had. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that the correct protocol was for Director Davis to contact the General Manager first, and then if Director Davis did not get the answers he was looking for could have then called the Board President.

Director Smiley explained that his view is that Director Davis has been on the Board long enough to know better than to act on his own and over the last three years Director Davis has been speaking against septage receiving. Director Smiley said that he feels that it was not appropriate to go to Director Sangster for approval.

Director Sangster explained that his approval was not requested and was never granted, but that he did say to get more information about what exactly what was coming in to the treatment plant.

Discussion ensued on revenue from septage receiving, and lost revenue from Director Davis's actions.

Public Comment: Vidya Schalk asked what the waste consisted of and feels that Director Davis was acting in the best interest of the community. Mrs. Schalk spoke about procedure not being followed, but that she would rather have action taken before something happened and that the procedure needs to be identified better.

Raynette Gregory a San Miguel resident spoke about the procedures and that Directors can not act on their own, and that Director Davis said on two occasions that he got the companies contact information from Director Sangster. Mrs. Gregory also spoke about Board conduct and the election in November.

Director Sangster stated that he wanted to clarify for the record that Director Davis did not ask him for the phone number for anyone at Cal Poly, and that he did not provide any phone numbers.

Director Davis explained that the phone number he called was taken off the truck.

Jenny Nickett a San Miguel resident spoke about who called who, and explained that having the Board President tell another Director that he should get more information, that would assume permission. Mrs. Nickett asked for clarification on the difference in the waste that was being delivered.

Director Sangster said that Director Davis could choose to respond if he wanted to.

Deputy General Counsel Pritchard clarified that the alleged violation is not about requesting or seeking information. It was explained that the alleged violation of the Board Handbook is calling a third- party that the District does business with and telling them to stop doing business with the District.

Rudy Schalk a San Miguel resident spoke about the need for people to ask questions if they see something that doesn't look right, and to find out what is going on. Mr. Schalk also spoke about it being inappropriate to contact the contractor to tell them what to do.

Kathy Shuder a San Miguel resident asked if she was correct that the issue was that the Board Handbook was not followed.

Motion by Director Sangster to reject action against Director Davis, no second. Failed

Motion by Director Smiley to approve censure of Director Davis, no second. Failed

Board Comment: Director Beatty said that he would like to make a motion to reprimand Director Davis and does not believe the actions fall under a censure. Director Beatty stated

that there are District policies and a Board Handbook that the Board is supposed to follow or it is anarchy.
Discussion ensued on what the levels of disciple action are.
Director Smiley amended his motion from Censure to Admonishment of Director Davis, Failed 2-1-1
Director Beatty said that Admonishment was the least amount of disciple, and that it was just saying, don't do it again explaining that he thought the Board President would be all about following policy. Director Beatty explained that if he or Director Smiley did anything like this, they would be on the chopping block. It was explained that he feels like Director Davis and Director Sangster were just trying to skim over these actions.
Director Sangster said that again, he does not feel that Director Davis did it with any ill intent, and that Director Davis's intent was for the good of the community. Director Sangster explained that through this process Director Davis has certainly realized that perhaps the actions taken were not appropriate or maybe not in the right steps. Director Sangster said that he thinks the setting here is already "don't do that" and if the Board is going to publicly put something into record he is not interested in having a resolution formally admonishing Director Davis, and feels that it has been discussed long enough.
Deputy General Counsel Pritchard clarified that an admonishment would not be a resolution, that it would only be a vote saying that the Board does not agree with what he did.
Director Sangster explained that he thinks everyone is clear on the "don't do that again" part.
Director Beatty said that he does not see that Director Davis has shown that he cares one bit about this and has never said that he felt like he had done anything wrong or that he messed up. Director Beatty would like Director Davis to say he messed up and feels that Director Sangster is just apologizing for Director Davis.
Director Sangster explained that he was not speaking for Director Davis.
Director Beatty said that Director Davis still acted on his own, and the District has policies in the Board Handbook; asking if they should just make a new policy that you don't have to follow the policies.
Discussion ensued on admitting your mistakes to the Board and Community, and spending more time on this was just a waste of time.
No action taken by the Board of Directors.

Motion By: Ashley Sangster

Second By:

Motion: To Reject action against Director Davis Failed

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent

Motion By: Rod Smiley

Second By:

Motion: To Approve Censure of Director Davis Failed

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent

Motion By: Rod Smiley

Second By: Brendin Beatty

Motion: To Approve as Amended Admonishment of Director Davis Failed

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Ashley Sangster		X		
Owen Davis			X	

3. **Continued from January 22nd, March 26th, and April 23rd Board Meeting.**
Originally approved the first reading of Ordinance 01-2026 by 3-1-1 vote at the January 22, 2026 Board Meeting. At the March 26, 2026 Public Hearing President Sangster requested a redline version, and continued the item to the April 23rd, 2026 Board Meeting by a vote of 2-1-1. At the April 23rd, 2026 Board Meeting redline was provided, failed to pass by a vote of 2-1-1. Item was continued to the May 28th, 2026 Board Meeting.
Waive reading, read by title only, and adopt an Ordinance of the San Miguel Community Services District Board of Directors adopting the 2025 California Fire Code, 2025 California Building Code, 2025 California Wildland-Urban Interface Code, and Local Ordinance 01-2026. (Approve by 3/5 vote) (Pg. 11-38)

Waive second reading, read by title only, and adopt an Ordinance of the San Miguel Community Services District Board of Directors adopting the 2025 California Fire Code, 2025 California Building Code, 2025 California Wildland-Urban Interface Code, and Local Ordinance 01-2026.

Item was presented by Fire Chief Scott Young who explained that this item had its first reading on January 22nd and was then given a second reading/public hearing on March 26th. This item was then continued to the April 23rd Board Meeting then again to the May 28th, 2026, Board Meeting. Fire Chief Scott Young spoke about the purpose of adopting the local ordinance, and that it was to ensure fire, life and safety issues directly related to the agency that has jurisdiction. It was explained that there have been no changes since the first reading.
Board Comment: Director Davis asked about removing the Fireworks section. Fire Chief Scott Young explained the first and second reading and that the Ordinance is the same as last month.
Public Comment: General Manager Kelly Dodds read written comment aloud by Shaunna.
Fire Chief Scott Young responded to public comment, clarifying the fire sprinkler section, and adopted Fire Hazard Severity Zones (FHSZ).
Discussion ensued on FHSZ and adopting the California Fire Code.
Deputy General Counsel Pritchard spoke to the written public comment about Kelly Dodds fire sprinkler business. Deputy General Counsel explained that if Mr. Dodds is not doing business within the community, there is no conflict of interest and does not need to be disclosed on his Form 700.
Raynette Gregory a San Miguel resident spoke about being in favor of Safe and Sane Fireworks.
Board Comment: After Motion to approve failed, Deputy General Counsel Pritchard asked the Board to clarify what they did not like in the proposed ordinance.
Director Sangster explained that for him it is the sprinkler requirements based on the different parameters and feels that it is a potential financial burden on someone who wants

to do work on their home.
Discussion ensued about changes, and the need to be in compliance with state law.
Director Sangster spoke about the fire sprinkler section being vague and subject to interpretation. Fire Chief Scott Young gave explanations on "increased fire hazard" and discussed the California Fire Code & Building Code.
Director Sangster explained that section 7.c, (5 thru 8) are subject to too much interpretation and would like to see those removed.
Director Beatty went through the proposed changes and explained that he feels that 6 and 7 should stay. Discussion ensued on requested changes and Fire Chief Scott Young gave real life examples on how those changes would affect reviews.
General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that there have been two motions with lack of a second, but would like to move forward with getting direction. Discussion on what would happen if this Ordinance did not pass ensued, and it was explained that the State code had changed significantly enough that the 2023 Code should not be kept.
Deputy General Counsel Pritchard explained that the State Codes should be passed at a minimum to reduce District liability.
After discussion, General Manager Kelly Dodds suggested that the Board of Directors pass Ordinance, less section 7.c, (5 thru 8) and if needed it could be brought back for more discussion on why those sections should be added back in.
Fire Chief Scott Young explained that only one Director was in favor of that, and that the Board needs to make some sort of decision collectively.
Director Davis explained that he agreed with counsel and Director Sangster.
Director Smiley asked for clarification on Director Sangster previous motion, and proceeded to motion.

Motion By: Rod Smiley

Second By: Brendin Beatty

Motion: To Approve Ordinance 01-2026 Failed

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Owen Davis		X		
Ashley Sangster		X		

Motion By: Ashley Sangster

Second By:

Motion: To Approve as Amended remove section 7.c 5-8 Failed

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent

Motion By: Owen Davis

Second By:

Motion: To Continue Failed

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent

Motion By: Rod Smiley

Second By: Brendin Beatty

Motion: To Approve as Amended Ordinance 01-2026, removing 7.c 5 thru 8

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Ashley Sangster	X			
Owen Davis		X		

7. **Non- District Reports:**

1. **San Luis Obispo County Organizations**
Verbal/Report
Item was presented after item 8.4. San Luis Obispo County Sheriff Commander Arauza who provided the April 2026 Calls For Service report for San Miguel. There were currently 144 calls for service this year, and 17 call for service with 2 reports for assault, 2 for theft and 1 for suspicious circumstances. Sheriff Commander spoke about the 5th Saturday cruise night and explained that the Sheriff office will be working with the Californian Highway Patrol (CHP) to keep things safe.
Board Comment: None
Public Comment: None

2. **Community Service Organizations**
Verbal
Kathy Shuder President of the San Miguel Senior Center gave an update and explained that they are having more activities like scrapbooking, bingo, meals that connect, and have started working with an organization for music.
Board Comment: None
Public Comment: None

3. **Camp Roberts—Army National Guard Contact information: Keba Baird PIO CA Camp Roberts/Camp San Luis - Email: keba.m.baird.nfg@army.mil Phone: 805-238-8286 Mobile: 805-610-6742**
none
None

8. **Staff & Committee Reports - Receive & File:**

1. **General Manager (Pg. 39)**
Receive report.
General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that District Davis still has to finish his required training.
Board Comment: None
Public Comment: None

2. **District Counsel**
Receive verbal report
Nothing to report

Board Comment: None
Public Comment: None

3. **District Utilities (Pg. 40-43)**
Receive and file
Item was presented by General Manager Kelly Dodds
Board Comment: Director Davis asked about streetlight design and asked if the General Manager could check with PG&E. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that the design plan for Streetlighting has those areas being discussed.
Public Comment: None

4. **Fire Chief Report (Pg. 44-57)**
Receive and File
Item was submitted as written by Fire Chief Scott Young. Fire Chief Scott Young added that as of June 1st the community of San Miguel will received an improved ISO rating from a 3-3x to a 2-2x. Fire Chief Scott Young explained that with that improvement citizens in San Miguel should be able to maintain their homeowners insurance and hopefully get a reduction in cost. Fire Chief Scott Young also informed the Board that on June 1st and 2nd, 13th Street will be closed because the County is going to be making some pavement improvements and that he has been working with Union Pacific Railroad for abandoned vehicle removal.
Board Comment: None
Public Comment: None

9. **Consent Calendar:**
Board Comment: Director Davis asked for clarification on item 9.4. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that it was just required paperwork.
Public Comment: None

Motion By: Ashley Sangster

Second By: Owen Davis

Motion: To Approve 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, and 9.4

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Owen Davis	X			
Ashley Sangster	X			

1. **3-26-2026 Draft San Miguel CSD Board of Directors regular meeting minutes (Pg. 58-72)**
Receive and file

2. **4-23-2026 Draft San Miguel CSD Board of Directors regular meeting minutes (Pg. 73-82)**
Receive and file

3. **Annual approval of the District Statement of Investment Policy for Fiscal Year 2026-**

27 by Resolution (Approve by 3/5 vote) (Pg. 83-92)
Approve a resolution of the Board adopting the annual Statement of Investment Policy to ensure compliance with State Government Code 53646

4. **Consideration of adoption of a Resolution of the Board of Directors requesting consolidation of the San Miguel Community Services District's Governing Board Election Biennial with the November 3rd, 2026, General Election and a Resolution of the Board of Directors adopting Regulations for Candidates for Elective Office pertaining to, and cost of, Candidates Statements submitted to the Voters at the November 3rd, 2026 General Election. (Approve by 3/5 vote) (Pg. 93-98)**

   1) Approve a resolution of the Board requesting consolidation of the San Miguel Community Services District's Biennial Election with the County's November 3rd, 2026, General Election

   2) Approve a resolution or the Board adopting regulations for Candidate Statements.

10. **Board Action Items:**

1. **Tabled from March 26th Board Meeting**
   Review and approve an agreement between the San Miguel Community Service District and the San Luis Obispo County Integrated Waste Management Authority for the construction and operation of a Household Hazardous Waste Facility at the Machado Wastewater Treatment Facility. (Approve by 3/5 vote) (Pg. 99-108)
   Review provided agreement and authorize the General Manager to execute an agreement on behalf of the District to allow the SLO IWMA to construct and operate a new Household Hazardous Waste Facility within the Machado Wastewater Treatment Facility.
   Item 10.1 was moved to item 6.1.
   Executive Director Coby Skye from San Luis Obispo Integrated Waste Management Authority (IWMA) gave a presentation on the proposed Household Hazardous Waste Facility (HHWF). Mr. Skye spoke about the requirement by the State, and that this would be the 7th HHWF run by the IWMA. The proposal is for a ten-year lease agreement with San Miguel CSD to develop the facility at the Machado Wastewater Facility. Discussion on the 14 specific responsibilities that IWMA would be taking on ensued. Maps of the proposed site were discussed and that the facility would not interrupt the Wastewater operations. Mr. Skye explained that site does not take up a lot of space and is really talking about a locker for storing some of the materials until the IWMA's contractor can take the material off site and properly recycle or dispose of the hazardous waste. The benefits of the facility were highlighted, and that being able to provide fees and convenient options for residents to take these hazardous materials is a benefit to the community.
   Board Comment: Director Sangster asked if this would be for all residents or only SLO County residents. IWMA Executive Director Coby Skye explained that it is free for all SLO County residents.
   Director Beatty asked if the IWMA was taking hundred percent liability for this facility, and separate from any SMCSD liability, staffing. Executive Director Coby Skye explained that the SMCSD would have no liability at all and discussed the indemnification clause, and insurance that is part of this proposed agreement.
   Director Sangster asked about the specific indemnification portion of the agreement. Cody read aloud section five of the agreement.
   Director Sangster asked about the insurance, and that the District's insurance would not be

invoked. Executive Director Coby Skye read section 5.2 of the agreement that states that IWMA has additional indemnification responsibilities.
Deputy General Counsel Pritchard also explained that indemnification section to the Board of Directors.
Director Smiley asked for clarification on how many facilities there where and explained that he was in favor of the HHWF. It was explained that this would be the seventh facility in San Luis Obispo County.
Director Davis asked for clarification that IWMA would be covering all the cost of installation, and who would be receiving these products. IWMA Executive Director Coby Skye clarified that IWMA has a contract with a company called Clean Earth and they will be on site when the facility is opened.
Public Comment: Rudy Schalk a San Miguel resident asked where this facility would be located. Mr. Skye showed a diagram of the site plan at the San Miguel Machado Wastewater facility.
Vidya Schalk a San Miguel resident asked about if there would be any mitigation needed because of the proximity from the river. Discussion ensued on the mitigation plan, and containment areas.
Rudy Schalk a San Miguel resident spoke from the audience asking how someone could drop off their HHW. It was explained that the HHWF will be a drive-thru service.
Jenny Nickett a San Miguel resident asked if it was like the Paso Robles landfill and Mr. Skye showed renderings of the proposed facility.
Rudy Schalk spoke out from audience, inaudible.
Board Comment: Director Davis asked for clarification on the entrance road to the HHWF, and if the facility would interfere with existing projects or future projects that the District has going. General Manager Kelly Dodds discussed the access road that will be next to the drying ponds at the current Machado Wastewater facility. It was also explained that during this process there has been great detail given to make sure that the proposed facility would not have an impact on our current operations or any of the future plans for the treatment plant.

Motion By: Rod Smiley

Second By: Brendin Beatty

Motion: To Approve

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Owen Davis	X			
Ashley Sangster	X			

2. **Review annual increase to San Miguel Garbage residential and commercial rates for fiscal year 2026-27 by the Non-Seasonally adjusted Consumer Price Index for the 12 months ending in April (3.7%) to be effective July 1st 2026 as previously approved through the Proposition 218 protest hearing held July 2025. (Approve by 3/5 vote) (Pg. 109-123)**

Approve the attached Resolution authorizing San Miguel Garbage to increase residential and commercial rates by 3.7% (CPI) for FY2026-27 as previously approve under a Proposition 218 hearing adopted by Resolution 2025-29.
Item was presented by General Manager Kelly Dodds who explained that the annual

increase for FY 2026-27 is an adjustment by the Consumer Price Index for the 12 months ending in April by previously approved proposition 218 held in July 2025. The proposed increase will be 3.7% and become effective July 1st, 2026. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that by Board request this increase is brought back to the Board.
Board Comment: Director Davis asked for clarification that this year's increase would be 3.7%, not 9.3%. General Manager Kelly Dodds said that the increase proposed in 3.7%, for residential and commercial garbage.
Public Comment: None

Motion By: Rod Smiley

Second By: Brendin Beatty

Motion: To Approve

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Owen Davis	X			
Ashley Sangster	X			

3. Monthly claim detail and investment reports for April 2026 (Recommend receive and file by Board consensus)
When ancillary reports area provided, they are for reference only and are subject to change.
(Pg. 124-162)

Please *Review, Receive and File* the claim detail and investment reports.

When ancillary reports area provided, they are for reference only and are subject to change.

Item was presented by General Manager Kelly Dodds submitting reports as written and recommending the Board receive and file the Claims Detail and Investment reports for April 2026.
Board Comment: None
Public Comment: None
Consensus of the Board is to receive and file April 2026 Financials

4. Draft Fiscal Year 2026-2027 District Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Budget and Capital Improvement Project (CIP) Budget. (Discuss and provide comments to staff)
(Pg. 163-184)

Discuss the DRAFT Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Budget and Capital Improvement Project (CIP) Budget and provide comments to Staff.

Item was presented by General Manager Kelly Dodds explaining that this was the draft budget for FY 2026-27 and that this is to provide the Board with the opportunity to provide comments to staff and make changes if needed. General Manager Kelly Dodds presented a Powerpoint presentation on each department and explained that this item will be brought back for a Public Hearing and adoption at the next regular meeting.
Board Comment: Director Sangster asked about having a public workshop, explaining

that he found them valuable.
General Manager Kelly Dodds explained the timeline and proposed a special meeting. It was explained that if there were specific things that a Director would like to go further into that it is requested that they send any requests to the General Manager.
Director Davis asked if the workshop would be opened to the public. General Manager Kelly Dodds clarified that it would be like a regular meeting and the public is invited.
Public Comment: None
Consensus of the Board is to schedule a Special Workshop meeting for June 11th at 6:00 PM.

5. **Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) alternate Board of Director run off election. (By consensus vote for 1 candidate) (Pg. 185-189)**
Review candidates and vote to elect no more than one (1) candidate by Board consensus and authorize the General Manager (or designee) to vote on behalf of the District.
Item presented by General Manager Kelly Dodds who explained that the LAFCO seat is still opened and that this is a run-off election.
Board Comment: None
Public Comment: Raynette Gregory asked about Director Davis and what happens if he is not reelected. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that the term is until 2030 and if Director Davis is not a San Miguel CSD Board of Director then that seat would be vacated, and the process starts all over again.

Motion By: Ashley Sangster

Second By: Brendin Beatty

Motion: To Approve vote for Director Davis

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Owen Davis	X			
Ashley Sangster	X			
Rod Smiley		X		

6. **Annual Board assessment discussion (General discussion by Board members) (Pg. 190)**
The Board should assess the functionality and performance of the Board.
Item was presented by General Manager Kelly Dodds explaining that this item is to assess the functionality and performance of the Board. Although the discussion should focus on the performance and functionality of the whole Board, each Director should reflect on their contribution to the Board dynamic and whether there is anything that can improve the Board as a whole. This is intended to be a discussion item only and is a stipulation in the Board Handbook for the Directors to perform an assessment of the Board on an annual basis.
Board Comment: Director Sangster said that he thinks that the Board is aligned in that, we are all here for the benefit of the community. He also explained that there are opportunities for improvement.
Director Davis said that he wanted the people of San Miguel to know that he works for them and does not work for the other little entities that he sees going on. Director Davis explained that the people of San Miguel love him.

Director Beatty explained that there are differences in the Board and that he is hopeful that as a whole Board the goals are the same, to help the community of San Miguel. Director Beatty explained that he feels that the Board is moving slowly and wastes a lot of time on things that should not take a lot of time.

Director Smiley said that he feels that this Board just keeps kicking things down the road on several different subject matters. He also explained that the Board is there to make decisions and keep things moving along.

Public Comments: Raynette Gregory a San Miguel resident spoke about the Board members being for the community, and that item 10.9 & 10.10 is coming up and that their constituents are asking for Safe and Sane Fireworks.

Jenny Nickett a San Miguel resident stated that the Board members say that they are for the people and that the people love them, but she explained that she has not heard that or any actions from the Board for the people. Mrs. Nickett also spoke about directors needing to stop having their own agendas, and to actually start working for the people of San Miguel.

7. Adopt revised job descriptions for Director of Utilities and Account Clerk 2 (Operation Coordinator) for the San Miguel Community Services District by resolution (Approve by 3/5 Vote) (Pg. 191-204)

Review and adopt revised job description for Director of Utilities and Account Clerk 2/ Operation Coordinator for the San Miguel Community Services District by approval of the attached resolution.

Item was presented by General Manager Kelly Dodds who explained that the San Miguel Community Service District maintains job descriptions and pay scales for all authorized positions within the District. As operational needs change, changes may be necessary to job descriptions in order to meet the changing needs of the District. Currently staff is requesting that the Board review and update the Director of Utilities job description (an unrepresented position) as well as approve a Account Clerk 2/Operation Coordinator job description (a represented position). General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that there is a redline for the Director of Utilities, and not one for Account Clerk 2 because an actual job description was not located for that position. Both of the proposed job descriptions bring those descriptions to what our current operational needs are for a foreseeable future. It was also explained that neither one of them is requesting any sort of a compensation change.

Board Comment: Director Sangster said that General Manager Kelly Dodds is occupying the Director of Utilities position attached to his General Managers contract. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that the Director of Utilities is attached to his contract but explained that in the future they will need to move towards hiring a separate Director of Utilities.

Director Davis asked why he would want to change his title right now. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that this is not about his contract but clarified that the intent the entire time was to eventually hire a Director of Utilities.

Director Sangster asked for clarification that General Manager Kelly Dodds is in the position by contract for five years. Director Sangster said that technically he is still the Director of Utilities for the next four years.

General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that his contract is for five years, but that the contract has always read that he will act in that capacity until a Director of Utilities is hired.

Deputy General Counsel Pritchard read contract statement aloud, agreeing with Mr. Dodds and explained that there was a redline attached to the Director of Utilities proposed job description.

Discussion ensued on qualifications and accreditations.

Director Beatty asked if there was a budgeted salary that he was not seeing. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that there is no request to change any compensation. It was clarified that as stated earlier that General Manager Kelly Dodds is currently functioning as a Director of Utilities as well as the General Manager. There is no additional compensation being requested, and the Account Clerk 2 is a represented position with a salary attached to the approved pay scale.
Director Sangster asked if it would be updating the job description as it applies to Kelly Dodds contract. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that this item is just asking to revise the job description in general.
Deputy General Counsel Pritchard clarified that you would have to amend his contract for that job description to be amended and that this revised job description would be applicable if you were to hire a new Director of Utilities.
Public Comment: None

Motion By: Rod Smiley

Second By: Brendin Beatty

Motion: To Approve

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Owen Davis	X			
Ashley Sangster		X		

8. **Authorize the abatement of weeds within the District boundaries by Resolution. (Approve by 3/5 vote) (Pg. 205-207)**
Discuss and consider objections to the "Notice to Remove, Destroy, and/or Abate Vegetation, Rubbish and Debris", overrule any objections and adopt the attached resolution authorizing Fire Chief to have weed abatement work performed from attached list (Exhibit A)
Item was presented by Fire Chief Scott Young explaining that objections to the "Notice to Remove, Destroy, and/or Abate Vegetation, Rubbish and Debris", overrule any objections and adopt Resolution authorizing Fire Chief to have weed abatement work performed from the attached list. Fire Chief Scott Young explained that Approximately 168 notices were sent out to property owners on May 4, 2026 informing them of their obligation to abate their properties of combustible weeds by June 1, 2026. The attached 2nd list (Exhibit A) is the list of the 48 properties that were given notice to abate weeds, and on June 1st a final assessment of the Lots from Exhibit A will be performed. The Lots that haven't complied with notice will be subject to abatement by the District. The new list of the Lots that required abatement will be presented to the Board at a Public Hearing that will be held on June 25th, 2026.
Board Comment: Director Beatty asked if these properties were "frequent flier"? Fire Chief Scott Young clarified that the listed properties are known from prior years and explained that a lot of abatement will be done by owners over the weekend. Fire Chief Scott Young also explained that he is working with the County to get their properties abated.
Public Comment: None

Motion By: Ashley Sangster

Second By: Rod Smiley

Motion: To Approve

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Owen Davis	X			
Ashley Sangster	X			

9. **Continued from the April 23rd 2026 Board Meeting**
Establish the dates for applications and sales of "Safe and Sane" fireworks, for the 2026 calendar year by Resolution (Approve by 3/5 vote) (Pg. 208-214)
Staff recommends that the Board of Directors adopt a Resolution establishing the dates for applications and sales duration of "Safe and Sane" fireworks during the 2026 calendar year.

Item was presented by Fire Chief Scott Young who explained that this item was continued from the April Board Meeting and is to establish dates for application for the 2026 calendar year. Staff report was read aloud and Fire Chief Scott Young who explained the fiscal impact and how the permit fees collected offset the actual cost to provide additional staffing.
Board Comment: Director Davis stated that Safe and Sane Fireworks are sold by the San Miguel Firefighters Association (SMFA). Stating that Scott Young is both the president of the SMFA and the Fire Chief, and that he will be the one selling the permits. Fire Chief Scott Young said that he would not be selling the permits.
Deputy General Counsel Pritchard clarified that the process has been updated, and the General Manager would be the one processing the permits.
Public Comment: Jenny Nickett a San Miguel resident spoke about the Board just discussing that they work for the people of San Miguel, and that the people of San Miguel want to have Safe and Sane Fireworks.
Raynette Gregory a San Miguel resident asks the Board to please pass the Safe and Sane fireworks and explained that if the Board did not pass it, there will be a recall coming. Mrs. Gregory also spoke about all the things the SMFA does for the community with the proceeds from the sale of Safe and Sane Fireworks.
Kathy Shuder a San Miguel resident spoke in support of the safe and sane fireworks to celebrate 250-year anniversary.
General Manager Kelly Dodds read written comment aloud by Shaunna P.
General Manager Kelly Dodds read written comment aloud by J. Brown
General Manager Kelly Dodds read written comment aloud by Lisa Smeltzer
After motion failed Deputy General Counsel Pritchard explained that unless there is any other motion that will somehow pass, there will be no firework sales in San Miguel **Board Comment:** Director Davis said that he wanted to explain to the audience that California is banning all fireworks, and that there will be substantial fines for anyone who has fireworks. Director Davis also said that if anyone uses fireworks, they will be making veterans and dogs unsafe.
Director Beatty clarified that this item was for the sale of Safe and Sane Fireworks only.
General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that item number 10.9 failed, so item 10.10 is irrelevant because you can not sell them, explaining that his recommendation is to take public comment on item 10.10 and then move to item 10.11.

Motion By: Rod Smiley

Second By: Brendin Beatty

Motion: To Approve Failed

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Owen Davis		X		
Ashley Sangster			X	

10. **Tabled from April 23rd Board Meeting**
Review and approve "Safe and Sane" fireworks permit fees for the 2026 calendar year by Resolution. (Approve by 3/5 vote) (Pg. 215-218)
Review and approve a resolution establishing "Safe and Sane" fireworks permit fees for calendar year 2026.
Item became irrelevant because item 10.9 failed.
Public Comment: none

11. **Continued from the April 23rd 2026 Board Meeting**
Adoption of job descriptions for Fire Captain, Fire Engineer, and Firefighter for the San Miguel Community Services District Fire Department (Approve by 3/5 Vote) (Pg. 219-230)
Review and adopt job descriptions for Fire Captain, Fire Engineer, and Firefighter for the San Miguel Fire Department by approval of the attached resolution.
Item was presented by Fire Chief Scott Young explaining that these are to update any old job descriptions and are being brought forward for approval in the event that fulltime positions become available in the future via budgetary process or grant funding.
Board Comment: Director Sangster asked if there was a time frame for when these positions could be hired, and what is the priority for these positions. Fire Chief Scott Young explained that it all depends on the dynamics of grant funding or budgetary funding. Discussion ensued, on funding and positions.
Director Sangster asked if some of the current Firefighters could fill some of these positions if they were funded. Fire Chief Scott Young explained that current firefighters could absolutely apply but clarified that they would have to apply like everyone else.
Director Davis asked if the Fire Department had any certified EMTs. Fire Chief Scott Young explained that the Fire Department has EMTs, CPR certified, Lifeguards, and Paramedic personnel but clarified that they can not practice in our department because the San Miguel Fire Department is a BLS (Basic Life Support) Department, not an ALS (Advanced Life Support) Department. Discussion ensued about old department staff members.
Director Sangster asked if there was any time anticipation for how and when these position could be funded. Fire Chief Scott Young spoke about the potential to fund a position with our next budget cycle and spoke about the SAFER grant application. Discussion on two full-time positions and a succession plan for the SAFER grant ensued.
Public Comment: None

Motion By: Ashley Sangster

Second By: Brendin Beatty

Motion: To Approve

Board Members	Ayes	Noes	Abstain	Absent
Brendin Beatty	X			
Rod Smiley	X			
Owen Davis	X			
Ashley Sangster	X			

11. Board Comment:
Director Beatty asked about the 11th Street crosswalk and if that was County or CSD. General Manager Kelly Dodds explained that is the function of the County and recommended that Director Beatty reach out to County Supervisor John Peschong.

12. Adjourn to Closed Session/Closed Session Agenda:
At: 9:32 PM
Public Comment: None

1. **CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL- ANTICIPATED LITIGATION**

   Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Gov. Code, § 54956.9(d)(2): One (1) potential matter

   Discussion

2. **PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (Gov. Code, § 54957(b)(1)) Title: Fire Chief**
   Discussion

13. Report out of Closed Session:
No reportable action

14. Adjournment to Next Regular Meeting:
At: 10:15 PM

As per Chapter 10.9 of the SMCSD Board Member Handbook, meeting minutes reflect actions taken and are not a complete record. Please visit the SMCSD website: https://www.youtube.com/@sanmiguelcsd/playlists to view full recordings of meetings.